

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,429	04/07/2000	Motoichi Watanuki	2309-63810	1093
Patrick G. Burns, Esq Greer Burns & Crain LTD 300 S. Wacker Drive Suite 2500 Chicago, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			TRINH, MINH N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	)
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/545,429	WATANUKI, MOTOICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Minh Trinh	3729			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS filter, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to t	from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  accepted or b)  objected to by the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	"□ <u> </u>	(070 (10) 0			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/545,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed in paper No.17 (dated 9/29/2003) has been fully considered and made of record.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior art (APA), [specification page 1, line 1 to page 2, line 15] in view of Watanuki. This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, Paper No. 16 (dated 7/03/2003).

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's Amendment filed in paper No. 17 has overcome the objection to the specification and the rejection under 112 second paragraph. However, Applicant's amendment has not overcome the prior art rejection.
- 5. Applicant's arguments regarding the amendment B of paper No. 13, pages 4-10, dated 5/5/03 have been acknowledged as result new ground rejection of prior Office Action dated 7/03/2003.
- a) Regarding the prior art rejections: In page 5, paragraph 2 of the Remarks,

  Applicant argues the applied prior art as combined do not teach a wafer which is cut into

  at least one raw bar while its thickness is greater than the length of the head. The

  Examiner disagrees because the phrase: "a wafer is cut into at least one raw bar while

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/545,429

Art Unit: 3729

its thickness is greater than the length of the head " is not recited in the rejected claims. Further, it is noted that applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the limitation as discussed above) is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- b) Applicant argues that the Examiner does not address all of the recited limitation of claim 1 (see Remarks, page 6, paragraph 1). The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:
- i) Applicant is referred to paper No. 16, page 3, paragraph 6 that the APA as modified by Watanuki does teach every aspect limitation as recited in claim 1 (see paragraph 6 of the last Office Action, that the APA teach the thickness of the wafer is being greater than the slider (see page 1, lines 8-10). Therefore the limitation of "a substrate wafer having a thickness greater than a length of the slider "(claim 1, lines 3-4) is met by the APA.
- ii) Further, Applicant notes that there is no cutting on the prior art wafer until its thickness is first reduced (see Remarks, page 6, paragraph 1). Applicant is referred to APA, page 1, paragraphs 2-3, which is clearly described as to how, the wafer being cut prior to the reducing in its thickness. Also, this is not cited in the present claims. Therefore, Applicant's arguments above are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,429

Art Unit: 3729

iii) Regarding that "claim 6" should be examined with the elected claims 1-5. This issue should not be discussed at this time because claim 6 is non-elected claim and has been withdrawn for reasons of the record (see reasons set forth in paper No. 10, paragraph 1).

For above reasons, Applicant's arguments all are found to be not persuasive because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

6. This application contains claim 6 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### **Interviews After Final**

7. Applicant notes that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Art Unit: 3729

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

mt

December 9, 2003

PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700